Preliminary analysis of the reviews of Example Reviewer

This report says something about the reviews you have made so far in this round - compared to the reviews made by the other reviewers so far. You may be able to use the information here to adjust your scores. Since the sets of applications you reviewers receive are relatively similar, the review scores should also be relatively similar.

NUMBER OF REVIEWS INCLUDED IN THIS REPORT

You	**69**
Total	**1668**

You have completed over 30 reviews. It provides an ok basis for comparing your character average with the total, but be careful about interpreting 'Ineligibility, Share' and the graph below. It is quite natural that these deviate somewhat from the average.

INELIGIBILITY, SHARE

You	**6 %**
Tot. average	**10 %**
Lowest reviewer	0 %
Highets reviewer	26 %

You have considered 6% of your proposals as ineligible. This is in the lowest quarter compared to the committee as a whole. This means that you have considered few proposals as ineligible. This could be because you, by coincidence, got particularly many eligible proposals, but it could also be because you intrepet the eligibility criteria too wide. Consider if this might be the case.

AVERAGE SCORE

You	**4,3**
Tot. average	**6,3**
Lowest reviewer	7,2
Highets reviewer	4,3

The average score in your reviews is 4,3. This is in the lowest quarter compared to the committee as a whole. This could be because you got weaker proposals than the other reviewers, but it could also be an indication of you using the skale differently than your collagues. If this is the case, it will affect the level of agreement. Take a look at the graph of your use of the scale and assess where you deviate the most from the average. Might that give you a hint?

USE OF RATING SCALE